

# Embracing Change: A More Equitable Statewide Approach to College Placement in Arkansas

Dan Cullinan, MDRC Hollie Daniels Sarica, Community College Research Center

#### Measuring Students' Skills and Abilities

- Students arrive at college with different levels of academic preparation
- Colleges need a simple and efficient process to determine what students can do and to place them in the right courses
- Historically, colleges have relied on standardized tests
  - Accuplacer and Compass
  - SAT and ACT
  - "Home grown" tests

# A lot can go wrong with standardized testing

- Students may have test anxiety
- Students may be "rusty,"
   especially if they have been out of
   high school for a while
- Students may not appreciate the consequences of a poor test score
- Tests may reinforce cultural and racial bias
- Material on tests may not align with what students need to know for their chosen majors and careers



#### But there is an alternative: MMA

 Multiple: more than one indicator is used to assess student readiness for college-level courses in math and English

#### Measures:

- most commonly High School GPA
- traditional placement tests
- background questions
- course-taking history
- other assessments
- Assessment: course placement is usually determined by cutoff scores or combinations thereof



## Reforming **Assessment into** Developmental **Education and Building the** Research Base

**January 2021-2024** 

#### **Project Description**

- With support from Ascendium Education Group, CAPR aimed to facilitate the statewide expansion of the use of MMA in Arkansas and Texas through:
  - Helping stakeholders understand the predictive utility of available college-readiness measures;
  - Documenting conditions that facilitate or hinder successful implementation of MMA; and
  - Assessing costs of MMA
- We partnered with the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board, Arkansas Division of Higher Education, Arkansas Community Colleges, and 13 open-access institutions

### **Predictive Analysis: Data**

#### Data sources:

- 13 data colleges' transcript files and other available measures
- Additional measures from ADE Data Warehouse (Arkansas) and Education Research Center (Texas)

#### Sample:

- All students at data colleges who enrolled in college-level math in last five years
- All students at data colleges who enrolled in college-level English in last five years



#### **Predictive Analysis: Takeaways**

- The predictive utility of placement measures is similar in Arkansas and Texas to that found in other states and systems
  - High school GPA is the best single observable predictor of success in college-level English and math courses without additional supports
  - Using multiple measures in addition to high school GPA only marginally improves those predictions
  - These findings are robust across most subgroups

# Implementation and Cost Findings



# **Implementation Research: Questions and Data**

 How are colleges adopting MMA practices? What conditions facilitate or hinder the implementation of an MMA system?

#### Data Collection Activities:

- Attended planning meetings with states and colleges
- Reviewed action plans and process maps
- Conducted 22 interviews and/or focus groups at 12 of the 13 participating colleges across Arkansas and Texas

#### **Implementation Research: Findings**

 Challenge: Faculty and staff often raise questions about the reliability of high school GPA and other indicators as measures of college readiness

 Solution: Dissemination of context-specific information about the predictability and accuracy of MMA generated buyin among faculty and staff

### **Implementation Research: Findings**

• Challenge: Incorporating additional data points into placement practices often requires college to develop ne procedures for collecting, storing, and accessing relevan data, and can introduce new and unanticipated challeng

 Solution: Proactively liaising with high schools and automating aspects of the placement system facilitated to collection and use of alternative measures



## **Implementation Research: More Findings**

 Challenge: Implementation is time consuming and requires multiple staff

 Solution: Collaboration between departments and flexibility of faculty and staff helped colleges implement MMA

#### **Cost Research Questions & Methods**

- In each state, what is the average cost, per college, of expanding and implementing MMA systems at the time of the implementation study?
  - CAPR weighted reported hours by reported wages plus overhead and categorized these amounts by faculty and staff members' role in implementation.
- What is the average cost by personnel category?
  - These costs were then averaged in each state by personnel category to provide insight into the typical levels of effort by role in each state.

## **Cost Analysis: Results**

- Personnel expenses were the predominant cost of MMA implementation, but the staff members involved—and the amount of time they contributed—varied by state.
  - In Arkansas, the **registrar** had the **most important role** in the process, with the greatest time commitment to MMA implementation.
  - In Texas, the bulk of the work was carried out by administrators and advisors.

### **Cost Analysis: More Results**

- Data systems and state policy may create conditions that require different staff members to change the processes underlying course placement.
- In both states, costs were **relatively low**, especially when considering the large number of students going through the placement systems.
- Given the positive evidence base for MMA from previous studies, these costs are likely **justified** by improved student outcomes.

## **Activity**

#### **Turn and Talk**

- Turn to those around you and discuss the following:
  - What challenges or hurdles might be faced in the adoption of MMA as an alternative placement system?
  - What benefits or opportunities do you associate with MMA?

## **Panel**



### **Panelists**



Mason Campbell
Arkansas Division of
Higher Education



Sharon Fox
NorthWest Arkansas
Community College



Tracy Harrel
Arkansas Divisic
Higher Educati

## Q&A



# Thank you!

The Center for the Analysis of Postsecondary Readiness (CAPR) is funded through a grant (R305C140007) from the Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education.